

## ACOUSTIC MONITORING HANDBOOK

### DRAFT OUTLINE

---

#### HANDBOOK OVERVIEW

- Chapter 1 – Introduction
  - Chapter 2 – Acoustic survey design
  - Chapter 3 – Bat detector choice
  - Chapter 4 – Echolocation call identification
  - Chapter 5 – Data processing and analysis
  - Chapter 6 – Case studies
- 

#### CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

- Outline the purpose of the handbook
- Describe some echolocation basics (i.e. How do bats use echolocation, how do we visualize echolocation calls that we record, what characteristics do we use to identify calls as belonging to one species or another – this section will be brief)

#### CHAPTER 2: ACOUSTIC SURVEY DESIGN

- What questions are you asking and what data are you trying to obtain?
  - Presence/absence, occupancy (*Gorreson et al. 2008; Loeb et al. 2015*)
  - Activity level/abundance (*Silvis et al. 2016; Grider et al. 2016*)
  - Feeding activity (*Heim et al. 2016*)
  - Migratory activity (*Furmankiewicz and Kucharska 2009; Rydell et al. 2014*)
  - Behavior
- Importance of controls
  - To detect changes in bat activity over time and space. (*Dzal et al. 2009; Baerwald and Barclay 2009*)
  - To detect changes in the activity/abundance of a species or group of species. (*Dzal et al. 2011*)
- What approaches to use?
  - Active/passive (*Coleman et al. 2014*)
  - Transects – driving, walking, biking (*Roche et al. 2011; Loeb et al. 2015; Whitby et al. 2014; Walsh and Harris 1995*)
  - Citizen science (*Barlow et al. 2015*)

- How many detectors and where to put them?
  - Selecting sampling locations (*Rodhouse et al. 2011*)
  - Detector deployment (habitat, orientation, recording time) (*Britzke et al. 2010; Skalak et al. 2012*)
  - Considering sound detection spaces (*Darras et al. 2016; O'keefe et al. 2014; MacKenzie et al. 2002*)
- How long to deploy detectors?
  - Temporal vs. spatial replication (*Bailey et al. 2007*)
  - Absent or undetected? Understanding detectability and its relationship to survey effort (*Gu and Swihart 2004*)
  - General advice on allocating survey effort (*Mackenzie and Royle 2005, Law et al. 2015*)
  - Power analysis when species detections are <1 (*Guillera-Arroita and Lahoz-Monfort 2012*)
  - But what can we do with a single survey? (*Lele et al. 2012*)
  - Estimating true absence (*Wintel et al. 2012*)

### CHAPTER 3: BAT DETECTOR CHOICE

- Describe some of the basic concepts and terminology related to making acoustic recordings of bat echolocation calls (e.g. heterodyne vs. full spectrum vs. zero-crossing, signal:noise ratio, etc.)
- Best practices for deployment (e.g. height, directionality, strategies for power and data storage).
- Discussion of relative costs of bat detector features, as well as tradeoffs of different specifications.
- Describe currently available detector models, including their general characteristics, and suitability for addressing different kinds of research questions. Perhaps categorize detectors into high/medium/low cost options. Present in a table (like consumer report).
  - NOTE: The Handbook editors plan to first obtain basic information on detector specs directly from the relevant companies via a standardized form and will add to the table based on conference discussions.

### CHAPTER 4: ECHOLOCATION CALL IDENTIFICATION

- Intraspecific variation in echolocation
  - Briefly summarize reasons for variation (habitat, geography, presence of conspecifics or ambient noise, individual/colony) (*Murray et al. 2001; Broders et al. 2004; Gillam and McCracken 2006; Veselka et al. 2013*)
  - Provide examples (*Molossus* as an example of a group that is highly flexible in its echolocation call structures; perhaps also include variable calls from a few species that are geographically widespread, thereby providing “local” examples for as many Handbook users as possible. North American examples that would be good include *M. lucifugus*, *E. fuscus*, *L. noctivagans*, *L. cinereus*)

- Discussion of manual vs. automated call ID
  - Need for both (automated ID practices are necessary to deal with large volumes of data and provide standardization; manual ID practices may (or may not) be more accurate and can provide users an opportunity to validate auto ID results and become more familiar with their data set). (*Jennings et al. 2008; Russo and Voigt 2016; Britzke et al. 2013*)
  - Understanding the potential for bias in manual ID (*Fritsch and Bruckner 2014*)
  - Effects of filters (*Clement et al. 2014*)
  - Methods of manual ID
    - Heterodyning (*Limpens 2004*)
    - Examining digital recordings (*Fritsch and Bruckner 2014*)
    - Combination of heterodyning and software analysis. (*Barataud et al. 2015*)
  
- Generalized discussion about the principles of automated ID
  - Basics of how automated ID works
  - Importance of filters
  - Classification methods – parametric or nonparametric, does it matter? (*Britzke et al. 2011*)
  - Bias and consistency
  - Estimating likelihood of misidentification (*Britzke et al. 2002*)
    - Classification accuracy tables
    - MLE values
    - Why low species accuracy may matter (marginally) less than you think
    - Why file/pass level disagreement may matter less than you think (*Britzke et al. 2002; Lemen et al. 2015*)
  
- Summary of call identification software currently available, being careful to avoid including information that will not age well (e.g. price)
  - NOTE: As with the information about bat detectors, the Handbook editors plan to first obtain basic information on software specs directly from the relevant companies via a standardized form and will add to the table based on conference discussions.
  
- Summary of existing and publicly available call libraries
  - *Walters et al.(2013) contains a table with a list at the time of publication – can be used as a starting point.*

## CHAPTER 5: DATA, ANALYSIS, AND INFERENCE

- Strategies for organizing, manipulating and storing enormous quantities of data. Include some examples of the quantity of data that a surveyor could expect.
  - Databases
    - Why and how
    - Current limitations
      - Workarounds
    - Archiving standards
    - Community standards

- NPS
  - NABat
  - European equivalent?
- What to database
  - Detector settings
  - Call files
  - Call file data parameters
  - Software version and settings
  - Site data
  - Personnel data
  - Dates – deploy, retrieve, *working*
- Provide a general purpose or existing database that readers could use to manage call-associated data (perhaps with help from Tom Rodhouse).
  - Community review and discussion of NPS database as starter
  - Modification of NPS database
- Summary of current widespread statistical techniques and the inferences they provide
  - Null hypothesis, information theoretic, or Bayesian (many, but will select only a few)
  - Occupancy analysis (*Bailey et al. 2007, Welsh et al. 2013*)
    - Logistic regression (mostly why not; *MacKenzie 2005*)
    - Single visit occupancy (*Lele et al. 2012*)
    - Single season
    - Dynamic (*MacKenzie et al. 2003*)
    - Abundance (with caveats; *Royle and Nichols 2003, Royle 2004*)
    - False-positive (*Royle and Link 2006, Miller et al. 2011, Clement et al. 2014*)
  - Activity levels
    - Generalized linear models and generalized linear mixed models
      - Determining need for hierarchical effects (*Bolker et al. 2009*)
        - Random intercept
        - Random slope
          - Pooling species
      - Link functions
        - Poisson
        - Negative binomial
    - Zero-inflation (*Sileshi et al. 2009*)
      - Function
      - Theoretical considerations
    - Generalized additive models
      - Smoothers vs polynomials
      - When to consider
  - Community structure
    - Ordination

- NMDS
  - CCA and RDA
  - PCA and CA
- Structural equation models and Bayesian belief networks
  - Limited as this could get very hairy very quickly
- Autocorrelation
  - Spatial
  - Temporal
- Appropriate and inappropriate ways to analyze results and draw conclusions, with regard to
  - Sampling design
  - Collection method
  - Analysis method
  - Uncertainty
  - *A priori* considerations
  - Incorporating other data types and sources, and prior information

#### CHAPTER 6: CASE STUDIES

- Four or five one-page descriptions of well-designed and -executed acoustic surveys. Ideally, this section will provide concrete examples of the concepts discussed in chapters 1 through 5 and will represent a diversity of research questions, detector and call ID software choices, and geographical areas. Case studies may illustrate examples of high and low activity, as well as comparisons of data sets from pre- and post-WNS scenarios.
  - NOTE: The Handbook editors will request examples of research projects to use as case studies from conference participants and will select four or five that best meet the needs of the handbook. Please see the associated e-mail attachment for details on this request.
  - We will summarize the remaining examples in a table of citations to be at the end of the chapter and ordered by project type. This will alert handbook readers to a variety of studies on given topics.
  - All case studies should have associated publications that readers can access for more information (and perhaps should be open-access).

## LITERATURE CITED

- Baerwald, E.F. and R.M.R. Barclay. 2009. Geographic variation in activity and fatality of migratory bats at wind energy facilities. *Journal of Mammalogy*. 90(6): 1341-1349.
- Barataud, M., Y. Tupinier, and H. Limpens. 2015. Acoustic ecology of European bats: Species identification, study of their habitats and foraging behavior. *Inventaires & biodiversité series, Biotope – Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle*.
- Barlow, K.E., P.A. Briggs, K.A. Haysom, A.M. Hutson, N.L. Lechiara, et al. 2015. Citizen science reveals trends in bat populations: The National Bat Monitoring Programme in Great Britain. *Biological Conservation*. 182: 14-26.
- Bolker, B. M., M. E. Brooks, C. J. Clark, S. W. Geange, J. R. Poulsen, M. H. H. Stevens, and J.-S. S. White. 2009. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 24:127–135.
- Britzke, E. R., K. L. Murray, J. S. Heywood, and L. W. Robbins. 2002. Acoustic identification. Pages 221–225 in A. Kurta and J. Kennedy, editors. *The Indiana bat: biology and management of an endangered species*. Bat Conservation International, Austin, Texas, USA.
- Britzke, E. R., J. E. Duchamp, K. L. Murray, R. K. Swihart, and L. W. Robbins. 2011. Acoustic identification of bats in the eastern United States: A comparison of parametric and nonparametric methods. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 75:660–667.
- Britzke, E.R., E.H. Gillam, and K.L. Murray. 2013. Current state of understanding of ultrasonic detectors for the study of bat ecology. *Acta Theriologica*. 58:109-117.
- Broders, H.G., C.S. Findlay, and L. Zheng. 2004. Effect of clutter on echolocation call structure of *Myotis septentrionalis* and *M. lucifugus*. *Journal of Mammalogy*. 85(2): 273-281.
- Coleman, L.S., W.M. Ford, C.A. Dobony, and E.R. Britzke. 2014. A comparison of passive and active acoustic sampling for a bat community impacted by white-nose syndrome. *Journal of Fish and Wildlife Management*. 5(2): 217-226.
- Clement, M. J., K. L. Murray, D. I. Solick, and J. C. Gruver. 2014. The effect of call libraries and acoustic filters on the identification of bat echolocation. *Ecology and Evolution* 4:3482–3493.
- Darras, K., P. Pütz, Fahrurrozi, K. Rembold, and T. Tschardtke. 2016. Measuring sound detection spaces for acoustic animal sampling and monitoring. *Biological Conservation* 201:29–37.
- Dzal, Y., L.A. Hooton, E.L. Clare, and M.B. Fenton. 2009. Bat activity and genetic diversity at Long Point, Ontario, an important bird stopover site. *Acta Chiropterologica*. 11(2): 307-315.
- Dzal, Y., L.P. McGuire, N. Veselka, and M.B. Fenton. 2011. Going, going, gone: the impact of white-nose syndrome on the summer activity of the little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*). *Biology Letters*. 7:392-394.
- Fritsch, G., and A. Bruckner. 2014. Operator bias in software-aided bat call identification. *Ecology and Evolution* 4:2703–2713.
- Furmankiewicz, J. and M. Kucharska. 2009. Migration of bats along a large river valley in southwestern Poland. *Journal of Mammalogy* 90(6): 1310-1317.
- Gillam, E.H. and G.F. McCracken. 2007. Variability in the echolocation of *Tadarida brasiliensis*: effects of geography and local acoustic environment. *Animal Behaviour*. 74: 277-286.
- Gorresen, P.M, A.C. Miles, C.M. Todd, F.J. Bonaccorso, and T.J. Weller. 2008. Bat detectability and occupancy with multiple automated echolocation detectors. *Journal of Mammalogy* 89(1): 11-17.

- Grider, J.F., A.L. Larsen, J.A. Homyack, M.C. Kalcounis-Rueppell. 2016. Winter activity of coastal plain populations of bat species affected by white-nose syndrome and wind energy facilities. *PLoS ONE*. 11(11): e0166512. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166512
- Gu, W., and R. K. Swihart. 2004. Absent or undetected? Effects of non-detection of species occurrence on wildlife–habitat models. *Biological Conservation* 116:195–203.
- Guillera-Arroita, G., and J. J. Lahoz-Monfort. 2012. Designing studies to detect differences in species occupancy: power analysis under imperfect detection. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3:860–869.
- Heim, O., J.T. Treitler, M. Tschapka, M. Knornschild, and K. Jung. 2015. *PLoS ONE*. 10(7): e0134443. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134443
- Jennings, N, S. Parsons, and M.J.O. Pocock. 2008. Human vs. machine: Identification of bat species from their echolocation calls by humans and by artificial neural networks. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*. 86: 371-377.
- Lele, S. R., M. Moreno, and E. Bayne. 2012. Dealing with detection error in site occupancy surveys: what can we do with a single survey? *Journal of Plant Ecology* 5:22–31.
- Lemen, C., P. W. Freeman, J. A. White, and B. R. Andersen. 2015. The problem of low agreement among automated identification programs for acoustical surveys of bats. *Western North American Naturalist* 75:218–225.
- Limpens, H.J.G.A. 2004. Field identification: Using bat detectors to identify species. *In* editors, Brigham, R.M., E.K.V. Kalko, G. Jones, S. Parsons, and H.J.G.A. Limpens. *Bat Echolocation Research: tools, techniques & analysis*. pp 46-57.
- Loeb, S.C., T.J. Rodhouse, L.E. Ellison, C.L. Lausen, J.D. Reichard, et al. 2015. A plan for the North American Bat Monitoring Program (NABat). United States Department of Agriculture, General Technical Report SRS-208.
- MacKenzie, D. I. 2005. What are the issues with presence-absence data for wildlife managers? *Journal of Wildlife Management* 69:849–860.
- MacKenzie, D. I., J. D. Nichols, G. B. Lachman, S. Droege, J. Andrew Royle, and C. A. Langtimm. 2002. Estimating site occupancy when detection probabilities are less than one. *Ecology* 83:2248–2255.
- MacKenzie, D. I., J. D. Nichols, J. E. Hines, M. G. Knutson, and A. B. Franklin. 2003. Estimating site occupancy, colonization, and local extinction when a species is detected imperfectly. *Ecology* 84:2200–2207.
- Murray, K.L., E.R. Britzke, and L.W. Robbins. 2001. Variation in search-phase calls of bats. *Journal of Mammalogy*. 82(3): 728-737.
- O’Keefe, J. M., S. C. Loeb, H. S. Hill Jr., and J. Drew Lanham. 2014. Quantifying clutter: A comparison of four methods and their relationship to bat detection. *Forest Ecology and Management* 322:1–9.
- Roche, N., S. Langton, T. Aughney, J.M. Russ, F. Marnell, D. Lynn, and C. Catto. 2011. A car-based monitoring method reveals new information on bat populations and distributions in Ireland. *Animal Conservation*. 14: 642-651.
- Rodhouse, T.J., K.T. Vierling, and K.M. Irvine. 2011. A practical sampling design for acoustic surveys of bats. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*. 75(5): 1094-1102.
- Royle, J. A. 2004. N-mixture models for estimating population size from spatially replicated counts. *Biometrics* 60:108–115.
- Royle, J. A., and J. D. Nichols. 2003. Estimating abundance from repeated presence–absence data or point counts. *Ecology* 84:777–790.

- Russo, D. and C.C. Voigt. 2016. The use of automated identification of bat echolocation calls in acoustic monitoring: A cautionary note for sound analysis. *Ecological Indicators*. 66: 598-602.
- Rydell, J., L. Bach, P. Bach, L.G. Diaz, J. Furmankiewicz, J. et al. 2014. Phenology of migratory bat activity across the Baltic Sea and the south-eastern North Sea. *Acta Chiropterologica* 16(1): 139-147.
- Sileshi, G., G. Hailu, and G. I. Nyadzi. 2009. Traditional occupancy–abundance models are inadequate for zero-inflated ecological count data. *Ecological Modelling* 220:1764–1775.
- Silvis, A., S.D. Gehrt, and R.A. Williams. 2016. Effects of shelterwood harvest and prescribed fire in upland Appalachian hardwood forests on bat activity. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 360: 205-212.
- Veselka, N., L.P. McGuire, Y.A. Dzal, L.A. Hooton, and M.B. Fenton. 2013. Spatial variation in the echolocation calls of the little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*). 91: 795-801.
- Walsh, A.L. and S. Harris. 1996. Foraging habitat preferences of vespertilionid bats in Britain. 33(3): 508-518.
- Welsh, A. H., D. B. Lindenmayer, and C. F. Donnelly. 2013. Fitting and interpreting occupancy models. *PLoS ONE* 8:e52015.
- Whitby, M.D., T.C. Carter, E.R. Britzke, and S.M. Bergeson. 2014. 16(1): 223-230.
- Wintle, B. A., T. V. Walshe, K. M. Parris, and M. A. McCarthy. 2012. Designing occupancy surveys and interpreting non-detection when observations are imperfect. *Diversity and Distributions* 18:417–424.